

Important parameters in plasma jets for the production of RONS in liquids for plasma medicine: A brief review

Anna Khlyustova^{1,2}, Cédric Labay^{1,2}, Zdenko Machala³, Maria-Pau Ginebra^{1,2}, Cristina Canal (✉)^{1,2}

¹ Biomaterials, Biomechanics and Tissue Engineering Group, Department of Materials Science and Metallurgy, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona 08019, Spain

² Research centre in Multiscale Science and Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona 08019, Spain

³ Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava 84248, Slovakia

© Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS) are among the key factors in plasma medicine. They are generated by atmospheric plasmas in biological fluids, living tissues and in a variety of liquids. This ability of plasmas to create a delicate mix of RONS in liquids has been used to design remote or indirect treatments for oncological therapy by treating biological fluids by plasmas and putting them in contact with the tumour. Documented effects include selective cancer cell toxicity, even though the exact mechanisms involved are still under investigation. However, the “right” dose for suitable therapeutical activity is crucial and still under debate. The wide variety of plasma sources hampers comparisons. This review focuses on atmospheric pressure plasma jets as the most studied plasma devices in plasma medicine and compiles the conditions employed to generate RONS in relevant liquids and the concentration ranges obtained. The concentrations of H_2O_2 , NO_2^- , NO_3^- and short-lived oxygen species are compared critically to provide a useful overview for the reader.

Keywords atmospheric plasma jets, liquids, ROS, RNS, plasma-dose

1 Introduction

The interaction of cold atmospheric pressure plasmas with liquids has gained increasing attention due to the importance of biological effects of plasmas themselves as well as plasma treated liquids. The main kinds of

atmospheric plasmas employed in the treatment of liquids for biological/medical applications without direct contact with liquids are atmospheric pressure plasma jets (APPJ), followed in the distance by dielectric barrier discharges. This paper will focus only on APPJ, which will be described succinctly in the following paragraphs.

Due to the emergence of the plasma medical field, and especially of plasma oncology, many recent reviews have lately focused on the biological action of plasmas. These have in general gone over the lethal and selective effects of plasmas on cancer cells, and on the wide range of cancers studied up to now. Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS) have been highlighted as essential players in the biological effects of plasmas [1,2]. A large number of works studying plasma activated media (PAM) or plasma-activated liquids have put forward the effects of indirect treatment of liquids for oncological therapies instead of direct treatment of the biological tissues by APPJ. One of the key issues under debate is the right “dose” of RONS for the therapeutical action of plasmas. However, comparison among the different works dealing with the biological action of plasmas is hard due to the absence of standard protocols, with differences in plasma source configuration, working conditions and experimental settings such as liquid volume, surface area or even liquid temperature (which is often disregarded or not mentioned in the works), which then alter the production of RONS.

The aim of present work is to provide a summary of the main reactive species produced by plasmas in close vicinity to liquids with biological relevance and to critically review on the efficacy of different plasma sources and the different reactivity of the liquid media employed in this field. The final purpose is to provide the readers with tools for the selection of plasma source and liquid media for their final application, depending on the desired dosage.

Received September 5, 2018; accepted November 23, 2018

E-mail: cristina.canal@upc.edu

2 Types of plasma devices without direct electrical contact with liquids

The main atmospheric plasma devices employed in medical applications are APPJ [3,4]. Their key advantages are easy (possibly hand-held) application for medical treatments, local delivery of plasma plume and active species to the treated tissue/organ and no need of a counter-electrode. APPJ are commonly operated as dielectric barrier discharges with a central needle electrode and one outer ring electrode, or single electrode with capacitive coupling [5,6] (Fig. 1). Another usual configuration comprises two cylindrical electrodes about the same diameter as the dielectric tube that are inserted in the tube with a certain separation and are each composed of a thin copper ring attached to a centrally perforated dielectric disc. To ignite the plasma, a high voltage in the 1–10 kV range can be used in the form of repetitive, microseconds wide pulses and applied between the two electrodes. Typically, the generated plasma flows through a dielectric tube with diameters from 0.8 to 4 mm. Its flow rate can be varied from 0.05 to 8 L · min⁻¹. The plasma jet source can generate stable atmospheric plasma in various gases such as helium, argon, and their mixtures with oxygen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide, air, etc. When the discharge is ignited, it launches a plasma plume that can reach up to 5 cm into the ambient room air.

Another group of APPJs use radio or microwave frequency discharges. A particular kind of APPJ which deserves particular attention is the commercial kINPen developed at INP Greifswald. kINPen is a radio frequency (1 MHz) atmospheric pressure argon plasma jet (Fig. 1(a)) [7]. This plasma jet is a pin type dielectric barrier plasma jet with an outer, grounded ring electrode. The diameter of the nozzle exit is 1.6 mm. The plasma jet is operated with argon. The operating frequency is in the order of 1 MHz and voltage amplitude is in the range of 2–6 kV.

In the last years a new device was developed within COST action MP1101 with the aim of providing a reference plasma source for biomedical applications. This

is known as the COST reference microplasma jet (Fig. 1(c)). The micro plasma jet is capacitively coupled at 13.56 MHz RF-discharge with symmetric, co-planar, stainless steel electrodes enclosed by two quartz panes and a discharge volume of 1 mm × 1 mm × 30 mm [8]. The plasma gas phase of this jet generated in helium, has been extensively characterized in [9].

3 Chemical effects of plasma in liquids

Plasma-liquid interactions have gained tremendous attention in the last few years [10]. The understanding of plasma-liquid interactions and, in particular, the transfer of reactivity from the gas to the liquid phase has been highlighted as being of prime importance for biological effects. Such interaction is a combination of physical (UV, heat and electromagnetic field) and chemical factors (which include a wide number of reactive species that are generated in the gas phase of cold plasma and will be discussed further here).

Biological liquids (i.e., blood plasma, cell culture media) are essential components in plasma treatment of biological entities (cells, wounds, tumours). Moreover, the creation of PAM has been an important new development that has shown similar biological effects to direct plasma treatment, so it has interesting therapeutic potential, and opens a versatile approach for many therapies [11,12]. It has been shown that the depth of penetration of the cold plasma can be limited to 60 μm (referred to induction of apoptosis in tumor cells) [13], so direct treatment of tissues with plasmas requires access to the site of action (i.e., surgery to expose a tumor to the action of plasma, or even use of plasma after resection of the tumor to reach remaining tumor cells). Contrarily, indirect treatment through PAM has the great advantage of allowing to target different regions of the body/tumor by injection of the PAM within the therapeutical site. This is thus a minimally invasive approach which can have many advantages for the patients. Moreover, it is technically easy to treat liquids with plasmas to obtain PAM.











Fig. 1 Representative picture of three examples of plasma jets of interest in plasma medicine: (a) atmospheric pressure argon plasma jet (kINPen), (b) plasma needle, and (c) microplasma European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) jet





RONS are known to act in a wide variety of intracellular and intercellular processes. RONS are involved in key signaling processes within the cell and hydrogen peroxide is thought to be one of the most important key players in the biological effects of plasma generated RONS. Also, nitric oxide, which is a key signaling molecule in biological processes and its presence can lead to important biochemical modifications [14]. It has been shown that RONS can be created in liquids by atmospheric plasma treatment. In fact, plasma treatment of aqueous solutions leads to their activation and non-equilibrium dissociation of water molecules. This results in the formation of short-lived species (around a few nanoseconds), such as H^\bullet atoms, OH^\bullet radicals, and hydrated (solvated) electrons (e_{solv}^-). Very quick reactions between these species lead to the formation of transitory and more stable species (lifetimes longer than 1 s) such as O_3 , H_2 , O_2 , H_2O_2 etc. Some of the main reactions [15], mainly proceeding from water molecule dissociation, are described as follows (Eqs. (1–7)):




In the presence of air, reactive nitrogen species (RNS) (NO^\bullet , NO_2^- , NO_3^- , $ONOO^\bullet$) are also formed in liquid medium. Nitrogen oxides can be formed from well-known gas phase (g) reactions of dissociated nitrogen and oxygen (Eqs. (8–11)):




These nitrogen oxides subsequently react in water forming acids (Eqs. (12–16)), which affect the conductivity and pH of the plasma-treated liquids and based on pH dissociate to nitrite (NO_2^-) and nitrate (NO_3^-) ions:



The NO can be oxidized to NO_2 in the case that air is used as working gas or mixed with the plasma effluent which might depend on the gas flow, thereby increasing nitrate concentration. Reactions of hydroxyl radicals with NO_2 lead to the formation of nitric acid in solutions (Eq. (17)):



Subsequently, reactions between the different species formed in solution may lead to the formation of of peroxyntous acid (in acidified conditions in non-buffering solutions, Eq. (18), $pK_a = 6.8$) or peroxyntite ions (in neutral or basic conditions, e.g., phosphate buffered saline (PBS), Eq. (19)) [16]. Peroxyntites/peroxyntous acid is another very important species in cell biology:



With views on biomedical applications, various water-based liquids (aqueous solutions) are of interest. For *in vitro* biological testing (assays with cells or tissues), the most commonly employed liquids are cell culture media. Cell culture media are aqueous solutions which contain a number of salts, proteins, glucose, amino acids, vitamins, etc., with up to 30 components. Depending on the cell lines studied, recipes for cell culture media can vary in composition (i.e., glucose concentration, growth factors, and other nutrients), which poses a challenge for plasma medicine researchers dealing with the reactivity of liquids. Plasma may react with the components of the medium (via reactive species) transforming them into their oxidized state [14].

For *in vivo* therapies (with animals and aimed at humans in the last instance) most usually either water or saline solutions such as phosphate buffer saline, Ringer's saline or Ringer's lactate, are employed. The effects of atmospheric plasmas on the reactivity of these species have been evaluated and will be discussed further in this work. Given the interest of these saline solutions for *in vitro* studies, they are often put in contact with cells following plasma treatment, and either diluted by adding them to cell

culture media or put in contact with the cell cultures for a brief period of time (up to usually 3 h maximum) and then replaced by the cell culture media.

3.1 Detection of reactive species in liquid media

A major challenge in plasma medicine remains the control of plasma-induced liquid-phase chemistry. Plasma generated reactive species transferred into the liquid or formed consequently in the liquid have been measured with an emphasis on long-lived species for obvious experimental difficulties to measure short-lived ones. Currently, there are different methods for determination of reactive species in liquids, and Bruggeman et al. [17] have reviewed them in detail. For the purpose of this work we can distinguish between “*in situ*” measurements of RONS and “*ex situ*” or indirect methods for the detection of RONS in liquids. The “*in situ*” measurements of short-lived species are based on the direct detection of ultraviolet absorption spectra. For instance, Kim and coworkers investigated hydroxyl radical density in cell culture media—Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) and in PBS by ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy [18]. Rumbach et al. utilized total internal reflection absorption spectroscopy for a direct detection of the plasma injected electrons in solvation process (the solution was used as an anode because the plasma was formed with a stainless steel capillary suspended 1–2 mm above the liquid surface. A glowlike discharge was initiated at the solution surface by applying high voltage between the suspended capillary and the solution) [19]. There are also “*ex situ*” methods of short-lived reactive species detection which employ scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Indirect methods are often easier to implement and do not require of very sophisticated equipment, so they have been widely employed in literature. To detect reactive species by using indirect methods, it is necessary to use a scavenger/chemical probe to entrap the reactive species of interest. The main techniques employed are absorbance spectroscopy, high performance liquid chromatography, ion chromatography, kit assays based on strips (with much lower precision), and fluorescence spectroscopy for detection of the products of fast reaction with reactive species. Electron paramagnetic resonance spin-trapping has been recognized as a technique capable to monitor radicals with high sensitivity and low detection limits [20,21], which allows to use one compound for detection of H^{\bullet} atoms and OH^{\bullet} and oxygen radicals.

UV-vis spectrophotometry is an appropriate method for detection of RONS in aqueous solutions. For example, the amount of hydrogen peroxide is usually quantified by a colorimetric method using titanium oxysulfate or less often sodium orthovanadate as chemical probes [22,23]. For detection of nitrite/nitrate ions a colorimetric method using Griess reagent and nitrite reductase is often employed [24].

More details on specific methods for measuring RONS can be found in the review of Bruggeman et al. [17].

As mentioned earlier, RONS have been described as some of the key players for the therapeutical action of plasma treated liquids. In particular, the cell-selective effects of plasmas and plasma-treated liquids have been attributed to them in different works [25,26]. One issue of debate in the scientific community is about the therapeutical “dose” of these RONS.

The issue is not easy to deal with since the proportion of the different RONS varies in the cocktail generated by plasma treatment as function of the different treatment conditions described. As shown in [27] the plasma gaseous products—and therefore the species generated in liquids—strongly depend on the discharge regime, its deposited power and gas flow conditions. The gaseous products then determine the chemical properties of the PAW and the dominant aqueous RONS.

Having an indication of the amount of RONS generated by plasmas in liquids could be a useful tool for researchers investigating this therapeutical “dose”. Figure 2 summarizes the main RONS generated in liquid phases by different APPJ devices. As compiled in the graphic, a wide variety of liquid media have been studied, together with different detection methods for RONS quantification.

In the following sections some of the main RONS generated by atmospheric plasmas in liquids of interest in plasma medicine are compiled in different tables (Tables 1–4). Particular attention is put on the concentrations generated as a function of the specific liquid and the conditions of the plasma treatment.

3.1.1 Long-lived RONS

3.1.1.1 Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2)

Hydrogen peroxide is a very relevant species in plasma medicine, as it can be generated by APPJ in liquids (Eqs. (4,7)), and it is also present already in cells. As such H_2O_2 is one of the species which has been mostly characterized in APPJ treated liquids. Table 1 summarizes the concentrations described in the literature with detail on the conditions of the plasma treatment and the particular liquid employed. The technique for measuring each RONS is mentioned as it can be of influence on the values obtained.

In the case of kINPen, as it is a commercial device with CE marking, the conditions such as plasma gas, applied voltage, frequency and in most cases, gas flow rate are more fixed. Ar was used as working gas with a flow rate of $3\text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (in most cases), applied voltage of 2–6 kV and frequency 1 MHz. As shown in the previous table, the amount of H_2O_2 detected in the different media displays a wide range of concentrations. It is difficult to compare the measured concentration of hydrogen peroxide due to the many different types of plasma jets and wide range of




Fig. 2 Overview of the short- and long-lived RONS generated in liquid media by APPJ with detail of the liquid media in which each species has been quantified in literature and the corresponding detection methods employed therein

solutions of biological interest that are used. Many parameters in the experimental setup (for example, the nature of the gas, the gas flow, the applied voltage, the distance between the plasma and the solution, the composition of the solution, the volume of the solution, etc.) play a role in the amount of plasma-induced RONS in solution. Oh et al. [43] found that H₂O₂ is the major RONS formed at distances of up to 30 mm in water. At such close treatment distances, the rate of water evaporation from the liquid is high, creating a more humid environment. Other authors have reported increasing H₂O₂ with increasing specific energy of the plasma deposited into the liquid, which supports H₂O evaporation, dissociation and OH• formation and its subsequent recombination to H₂O₂ [33,51,52]. In addition to diffusion and solvation of species from the plasma phase, OH• can also be formed directly in water through UV photolysis. It was assumed that the contributions of humidity and UV photolysis decreased as the treatment distance increased. At longer treatment distances, there will be more mixing and reactions of the plasma effluent with ambient air before the solvation of reactive species in the water and thus in principle lower H₂O₂ concentration.

As mentioned earlier, the plasma devices of utmost importance in plasma medicine are currently plasma jets. As reflected in Table 1, other devices, such as the COST microplasma jet device have been very well characterized on their plasma phase [50], but their interaction with

liquids has not been widely investigated. As could be expected given their configuration/design, the amount of ROS—H₂O₂ in this case—produced by the COST microplasma jet is much lower than the other jets (Table 1). This source is therefore of limited interest for medical applications, but rather conceived as reference source to correlate the gas phase species with RONS generated in liquids, especially the COST source. In general terms, other types of APPJs produce higher H₂O₂ concentrations than kINPen.

Huge increase in the concentration of peroxides is recorded in PBS when doping gases such as O₂ or N₂ are added to the main Ar gas flow [39]. Another critical parameter is treatment distance as increasing of distance in kINPen from 10 to 30 mm was related to a decrease of more than one order of magnitude in the concentration of H₂O₂ [49]. In general, treatment time linearly increases the amount of H₂O₂ in PBS [28].

3.1.1.2 Nitrite ions (NO₂⁻)

Nitrite ions in liquid media are formed by dissolution of nitrogen oxides, which are formed in the gas phase of plasma jet. Concentration levels of NO₂⁻ described in literature in different plasma-activated biological liquids are reported in Table 2, as function of the corresponding plasma settings and experimental conditions.

Formation of nitrites in liquids following atmospheric

1 plasma treatment leads to the decrease of pH. Experiments
 comparing DI water with buffered solutions showed that
 higher concentrations of NO_2^- are obtained in buffered
 solutions. This is most likely due to the depletion of NO_2^-
 5 by the reaction with H_2O_2 leading to ONOOH that occurs
 in acidic conditions in non-buffered plasma treated water
 solutions (Eq. (18)) [16,54]. This reaction runs slower in
 the solutions buffered at neutral pH which better preserves
 NO_2^- produced by plasma-created NO_x . Cell culture media
 are buffered and in addition contain many organic

Table 1 Concentration of hydrogen peroxide after treatment by APPJ from different authors and configurations (working conditions and measurement technique are specified for each device)

10	Gas	Flow rate/ ($\text{L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$)	Conditions	t/s	$V/\mu\text{L}$	Liquid	$[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] / (\mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1})$	Ref.	10
	He	0.05	$U = 8 \text{ kV}, f = 20 \text{ kHz},$ $d = 10 \text{ mm}$	240	500	PBS ($\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}$)	1300 ^{a)}	[28]	
15		0.1	$U = 8 \text{ kV}, f = 15 \text{ kHz},$ $P = 5 \text{ W}$	120	100	DMEM + FBS	14 ^{b)}	[29]	15
		1	$U = 7 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz}$	300	4000	DI water	26 ^{b)}		
		1.67	$U = 7.5 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz}, d = 2$ mm, shielding gas O_2/N_2	600	2000	DI water	18 ^{b)}	[30]	
						PBS	265 ^{b)}	[31]	
20		2	$U = 18 \text{ kV}, f = 24.9 \text{ kHz}, d = 10$ mm	60	100	Aqueous solutions	50–80 ^{a)}	[32]	20
		3	$U = 10 \text{ kV}, f = 9.69 \text{ kHz}$	150	100	DI water	1750 ^{a)}	[33]	
						DMEM	1600 ^{a)}		
25		4.7	$U = 3.16 \text{ kV}, d = 30 \text{ mm}$	60 or 120	1000	DMEM + 10% FCS	1600 ^{a)}		25
						DMEM	35 ^{c)}	[34]	
						PBS	42 ^{c)}		
		5	$U = 5\text{--}9 \text{ kV}, f = 5 \text{ kHz}, d = 25$ mm	60	500	MEM	30 ^{c)}	[35]	
30		5	$U = 2 \text{ kV}, P = 6 \text{ W},$ $d = 20 \text{ mm}$	1800	4000	McCoy	1470.6 ^{d)}	[36]	30
						advDMEM	2117.6 ^{d)}		
	He + O_2	2	$U = 15 \text{ kV}, f = 1 \text{ kHz},$ $d = 5 \text{ mm}$	300 600 900	360	PBS	174 ^{c)} 391 ^{c)} 1250 ^{c)}	[37]	
35		8	$U = 28 \text{ kV}, f = 2 \text{ kHz}$	60	300	Phenol-free RPMI 1640	6 ^{c)}	[38]	35
	Ar	1	$U = 9 \text{ kV}, f = 16 \text{ kHz},$ $d = 9 \text{ mm}$	60	100	PBS	88.2 ^{c)}	[39]	
40		2	$U = 7 \text{ kV}, f = 60 \text{ Hz},$ $d = 13 \text{ mm}$	300	3000	DMEM + P/S + FBS	110 ^{c)}	[40]	40
						DMEM	92 ^{c)}		
		2	$U = 10 \text{ kV}, f = 60 \text{ Hz},$ $d = 3 \text{ mm}$	120	8000	Ringer's saline	8 ^{c)}	[41]	
45		3	$U = 0.7 \text{ kV}, I = 3 \text{ mA},$ $f = 16 \text{ kHz}, P = 0.2 \text{ W}$	1800		DI water	800 ^{a)}	[42]	45
		3	$U = 7 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz},$ $d = 15, 25 \text{ and } 80 \text{ mm}$	300	4000	DI water	$d = 15 \text{ mm}, 16^{\text{b)}$ $d = 11 \text{ mm}, 80^{\text{b)}$ $d = 25 \text{ mm}, 3.7^{\text{b)}$	[43]	
50	Other gases	1, O_2	$U = 9 \text{ kV}, f = 16 \text{ kHz},$ $d = 9 \text{ mm}$	60	100	PBS	1559 ^{c)}	[39]	50
		1, N_2				PBS	735 ^{c)}		
		1, CO_2				PBS	1265 ^{c)}		
		1, air				PBS	58.8 ^{c)}		
55	Ar, RF plasma jet	1.5	$F = 13.7 \text{ MHz},$ $d = 5\text{--}13 \text{ mm}$	60	3000	PBS ($\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}$)+ $1 \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ D-glucose	15–250 ^{c)}	[44]	55

(Continued)

Gas	Flow rate/ (L · min ⁻¹)	Conditions	t/s	V/μL	Liquid	[H ₂ O ₂] /(μmol · L ⁻¹)	Ref.
Ar, kINPen	3	$U = 2-6$ kV, $f = 1.1$ MHz, $d = 5$ mm	60	1000	Phenol-free RPMI 1640	60 ^{c)}	[45]
	3	$U = 2-6$ kV, $f = 1$ MHz, $d = 9$ mm	100	5000	RPMI 1640 + 8% FCS + 1% P/S	45–210 ^{d)}	[46]
	3	$U = 2-6$ kV, $f = 1$ MHz	180	5000	Phenol-free RPMI 1640	100 ^{c)}	[47]
	3	$U = 2-6$ kV, $f = 1$ MHz	180		NaCl	78 ^{d)}	[48]
	3				DPBS	60 ^{d)}	
	3				RPMI 1640	88 ^{d)}	
	3	$U = 2-6$ kV, $f = 1$ MHz, $d = 10$ mm	300	2000	PBS	1300 ^{a)}	[49]
COST micro-plasma jet	3	$U = 2-6$ kV, $f = 1$ MHz, $d = 2-18$ mm	60	3000	PBS (Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺) + 1 g · L ⁻¹ D-glucose	19–20 ^{d)}	[44]
	1.4	He		250	RPMI1640	75 ^{c)}	[50]
		He + O ₂		250		5 ^{c)}	
		He + H ₂ O		250		25 ^{c)}	
		He + H ₂ O + O ₂		250		20 ^{c)}	

For detection of H₂O₂ different methods were used: a) UV-vis absorption spectroscopy using TiOSO₄; b) UV-vis spectroscopy; c) fluorescence method with AmplexTM Red hydrogen peroxide assay kit; d) commercial peroxide test stripes. *d*: distance between nozzle and surface of liquid; *f*: frequency of discharge; *t*: time; *U*: applied voltage; DPBS: Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline; FBS: fetal bovine serum; FCS: fetal calf serum; MEM: minimum essential medium; P/S: penicillin/streptomycin; RPMI: Roswell Park Memorial Institute

components, which results in higher NO₂⁻ concentrations possibly due to the interaction of plasma with amino acids to form additional nitrite ions. On the other hand, nitrate/nitrite anions can be the targets of short lifetime ROS such as hydroxyl radical leading to the formation of peroxynitrites (ONOO⁻) according to reaction (Eq. (19)). In complex liquids such as cell culture media, this reaction will compete with the oxidation of biomolecules by ROS [29,33].

The amount of NO₂⁻ decreases at increasing gas flow rate in the case of APPJ using He in experiments with DI water [28,29,33], as also discussed for hydrogen peroxides. This trend might result from the fact that less air is admixed to the plasma jet channel when the gas flow is higher and, thus, less RONS are produced in the gas phase [28]. The surrounding atmosphere, especially air, has a greater impact on the formation of RNS than in the formation of H₂O₂ in solutions [45]. Higher concentration of nitrite observed in DMEM with FCS than in DMEM can be explained by the presence of copper-containing proteins such as cytochrome C in FCS that can contribute to the oxidation of nitric oxide into nitrite [33]. The maximum value of nitrite ions recorded in PBS is referred to APPJ in air [39].

In the case of kINPen plasma using argon, the concentration of nitrite ions is higher in phenol-free RPMI medium than in the presence of phenol even at short time plasma treatment [48]. This suggests a possible

reaction of phenol red with NO₂⁻ during plasma treatment (acidification process).

3.1.1.3 Nitrate ions (NO₃⁻)

Nitrates are also obtained from the reaction of nitrogen oxides formed by plasmas in liquids. The concentrations recorded in representative works in literature are detailed in Table 3.

The highest concentration of nitrate ions in DI water was obtained by Chauvin et al. [33] after 150 s of treatment by APPJ in helium. In general, shorter treatment times up to maximum of 1 min which could be of interest in direct treatment of tissues, have been evaluated and provided larger concentrations of nitrate, up to 2500 μmol · L⁻¹ in PBS with air plasma jet [39]. This is related to the fact that air plasma can produce RNS such as NO and NO₂ in the gas phase, which react with water forming nitrite/nitrate ions as described in section 3 (Eqs. (12–16)).

For all species discussed up to now (Tables 1–3), the effect of liquid volume on the concentration of RONS is critical, as in the same treatment conditions it has been observed that smaller volumes allow generating higher concentrations (both parameters are inversely related). However, although this is not straightforward, it seems that decreases of one order of magnitude in volume may increase the concentration of RONS in one order of magnitude.

Table 2 Concentration of nitrite ions in different liquids following APPJ or kINPen treatment

Gas	Flow rate/ (L · min ⁻¹)	Conditions	t/s	V/ μ L	Liquid	[NO ₂ ⁻]/(μ mol · L ⁻¹)	Ref.
He	0.05	$U = 8$ kV, $f = 20$ kHz, $d = 10$ mm	240	500	PBS (Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺)	1600 ^{a)}	[28]
	0.05	$U = 8$ kV, $f = 20$ kHz, $d = 10$ mm	60	500	PBS (Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺)	400 ^{a)}	[28]
	0.1	$U = 8$ kV, $f = 15$ kHz, $P = 5$ W	120	100	DMEM + FBS	340 ^{b)}	[29]
	1	$U = 7$ kV, $f = 10$ kHz	300	4000	DI water	137 ^{b)}	[30]
	1.67	$U = 7.5$ kV, $f = 10$ kHz, $d = 2$ mm, shielding gas O ₂ /N ₂	600	2000	DI water	18 ^{c)}	[31]
He	2	$U = 1.8$ kV, $f = 35$ kHz	180		PBS	620 ^{c)}	[31]
	2	$U = 15$ kV, $f = 1$ kHz, $d = 5$ mm	300	360	Serum-free HBSS	125–138 ^{b)}	[53]
	2	$U = 15$ kV, $f = 1$ kHz, $d = 5$ mm	600	360	PBS	5 ^{a)}	[37]
He	2	$U = 15$ kV, $f = 1$ kHz, $d = 5$ mm	900	360	PBS	10 ^{a)}	[37]
	2	$U = 15$ kV, $f = 1$ kHz, $d = 5$ mm	900	360	PBS	19.5 ^{a)}	[37]
	3	$U = 10$ kV, $f = 9.69$ kHz	150	100	DI water	25 ^{a)}	[33]
He	5	$U = 2$ kV, $P = 6$ W, $d = 20$ mm	1800	4000	DMEM	200 ^{a)}	
					DMEM + 10% FCS	500 ^{a)}	
					McCoy	435 ^{d)}	[36]
He + N ₂	2	$U = 7.5$ kV, $f = 10$ kHz, $d = 15$ mm	600	2000	advDMEM	174 ^{d)}	
Ar	1	$U = 9$ kV, $f = 16$ kHz, $d = 9$ mm	60	100	PBS	590 ^{c)}	[54]
	2	$U = 7$ kV, $f = 60$ Hz, $d = 20$ mm	300	3000	DMEM + P/S + FBS	3350 ^{a)}	[40]
	3	$U = 0.7$ kV, $I = 3$ mA, $f = 16$ kHz, $P = 0.2$ W	1800		DMEM	2750 ^{a)}	
Ar	3	$U = 7$ kV, $f = 10$ kHz, $d = 15, 25$ and 80 mm	300	4000	DI water	10 ^{b)}	[42]
						$d = 15$ mm, 11 ^{c)}	[43]
						$d = 25$ mm, 16 ^{c)}	
Ar, R F plasma jet	1, O ₂	$U = 9$ kV, $f = 16$ kHz, $d = 9$ mm	60	100	DI water	$d = 80$ mm, 3.7 ^{c)}	
	1, N ₂				PBS	< 2.5 ^{a)}	[39]
	1, CO ₂				PBS	< 2.5 ^{a)}	
	1, air				PBS	< 2.5 ^{a)}	
Ar, kINPen	1.5	$F = 13.7$ MHz, $d = 5$ –13	60	3000	PBS (Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺) + 1 g · L ⁻¹ D-glucose	1782 ^{a)}	
	3	$U = 2$ –6 kV, $f = 1$ MHz	180		PBS (Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺)	250 ^{b)}	[44]
	3				+ 1 g · L ⁻¹ D-glucose		
Ar, kINPen	3				NaCl	10 ^{a)}	[48]
	3				DPBS	6.5 ^{a)}	
	3				Phenol free RPMI 1640	19.5 ^{a)}	
	3	$d = 10$ mm	300	2000	PBS	500 ^{b)}	[49]

For detection of NO₂⁻ ions different methods were used: a) Nitrite assay kit (based on Griess reagent); b) colorimetric method using Griess reagent; c) UV-vis absorbance; d) Nitrite/Nitrate test strips. HBSS: Hank's balanced salt solution

Table 3 Concentration of nitrate ions in solutions after APPJ treatment.

Gas	Flow rate/ (L · min ⁻¹)	Conditions	t/s	V/μL	Liquid	[NO ₃ ⁻]/(μmol · L ⁻¹)	Ref.
He	0.05	$U = 8 \text{ kV}, f = 20 \text{ kHz}, d = 10 \text{ mm}$	240	500	PBS (Ca ²⁺ /Mg ²⁺)	500 ^{a)}	[28]
	1	$U = 7 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz}, d = 12 \text{ mm}$	900	100	DI water	2.4 ^{b)}	[55]
	1	$U = 7 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz}$	300	4000	DI water	0.47 ^{c)}	[30]
	1.67	$U = 7.5 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz}, d = 2 \text{ mm},$ shielding gas O ₂ /N ₂	600	2000	PBS	260 ^{c)}	[31]
	3	$U = 10 \text{ kV}, f = 9.69 \text{ kHz}$	150	100	DI water	250 ^{a)}	[33]
					DMEM	400 ^{a)}	
He + N ₂	2	$U = 7.5 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz}$	600	2000	PBS	460 ^{b)}	[54]
Ar	3	$U = 0.7 \text{ kV}, I = 3 \text{ mA}, f = 16 \text{ kHz}, P = 0.2 \text{ W}$	1800		DI water	20 ^{c)}	[42]
	3	$U = 7 \text{ kV}, f = 10 \text{ kHz}$	300	4000	DI water	$d = 15 \text{ mm}, 0.9^b)$ $d = 25 \text{ mm}, 1.5^b)$ $d = 80 \text{ mm}, 0.2^b)$	[43]
Air	1	$U = 9 \text{ kV}, f = 16 \text{ kHz}, d = 9 \text{ mm}$	60	100	PBS	2580 ^{a)}	[39]
Ar, kINPen	3	$U = 2\text{--}6 \text{ kV}, f = 1 \text{ MHz},$ shielding gas O ₂ + N ₂ at 5 L · min ⁻¹	180		NaCl	7 ^{a)}	[48]
	3	$U = 2\text{--}6 \text{ kV}, f = 1 \text{ MHz},$ shielding gas O ₂ + N ₂ at 5 L · min ⁻¹	180		DPBS	5 ^{a)}	[48]

Methods of detection were: a) Nitrite/Nitrate assay kit (Nitric oxide assay kit); b) UV-vis spectroscopy; c) Nitrate selective electrode

3.1.2 Short-lived reactive species

In this section, the concentration of short-lived reactive species in PAM is discussed. Due to their lifetime and low stability, these species are harder to measure and require special equipment or adapted experimental protocols, so there is less abundance of relevant results in the literature.

3.1.2.1 Hydroxyl (OH•), peroxy- (HOO•) and oxygen radicals

Nowadays the most popular method for detection of short-lived reactive species is electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (EPR) using spin-trap reagents. For instance, 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline *N*-oxide (DMPO) is used as scavenger for OH• and superoxide O₂⁻ radicals [20,21,56].

Analysis of the data in Table 4 suggests that higher amounts of short-lived species generated by APPJ can be obtained in water or saline solutions such as PBS rather than in cell culture media. This may be ascribed to the fact that the complex composition of cell culture media with many components such as amino acids, vitamins and proteins can trap short-lived ROS. This trend has been confirmed by Chauvin et al. [33] by treating DI water, DMEM and supplemented DMEM under the same plasma setting conditions and comparing the amount of the short life radicals generated in the different media. On the other

hand, literature data for kINPen plasma jet, operating with Ar, are contradicting this tendency (Table 4) [48]. The smallest amount of oxygen radicals was formed in sodium chloride solution, followed by RPMI while DPBS had the highest amount of plasma-generated oxygen radicals. Authors suggested that in RPMI and DPBS, the additional compounds (aminoacids, proteins, etc) are further sources for oxygen radicals [48].

3.1.2.2 Peroxynitrites (ONOO•)

It has been remarked that usually low concentrations of hydrogen peroxide are accompanied by high amounts of nitrate/nitrite ions [28,30,33,43]. This may be explained by reactions (Eqs. (18,19)). Reaction (Eq. (18)) leads to the formation of peroxynitrous acid, which is not stable in acidic solution and decays to OH• and NO₂ radicals or transforms to the more stable nitrate ion. Peroxynitrite has a half-lifetime about 1 s at pH 7.4 which allows its diffusion in solution. Machala et al. [58] indirectly estimated the concentration of this ion to be of tens of μmol · L⁻¹ by fluorescence spectroscopy (after transient spark discharge ignited above distilled water). Girard et al. [54] identified the formation of peroxynitrite anion in PBS (at pH 12) under He APPJ treatment by using UV-visible absorption spectroscopy. The maximal concentration detected is of 47 μmol · L⁻¹ after 10 min of treatment.

Table 4 Concentration of short-lived ROS detected by spin-trap after APPJ treatment, using different gases for plasma generation

Gas	Flow rate/ (L·min ⁻¹)	Conditions	t/s	V/ μ L	Liquid	[ROS]/(μ mol·L ⁻¹)	Ref.
He	1	$U = 9$ kV, $f = 16$ kHz, $d = 9$ mm	60	100	DI water	OH \bullet	68 [57]
	2	$U = 18$ kV, $f = 24.9$ kHz, $d = 10$ mm	60	100	Aqueous solutions	OH \bullet HOO \bullet O ₃ /O ₂ /O	23.5 17 170 [32]
	3	$U = 10$ kV, $f = 9.69$ kHz	150	100	DI water DMEM DMEM + 10% FCS	OH \bullet OH \bullet OH \bullet	4.12 3 0.4 [33]
N ₂	1	$U = 9$ kV, $f = 16$ kHz, $d = 9$ mm	60	100	DI water	OH \bullet	130 [57]
Ar	1					OH \bullet	84
Ar + H ₂ O	1					OH \bullet	210
O ₂	1					OH \bullet	32
CO ₂	1					OH \bullet	28
Air	1					OH \bullet < 10	
Ar, kINPen	3	Shielding gas O ₂ , O ₂ 180 + N ₂ , flow rate 5 L·min ⁻¹			NaCl	OH \bullet + O ₂ ⁻	1.9 [48]
					DPBS	OH \bullet + O ₂ ⁻	5.6
					RPMI 1640	OH \bullet + O ₂ ⁻	3.6

The presence of shielding gas O₂/N₂ in the ratio 20%/80% decreased formation of ONOO \bullet to 23 μ mol·L⁻¹. For 100% N₂ and 100% O₂ as shielding gas composition, concentrations of peroxynitrite reached about 10 μ mol·L⁻¹ [31]. In these conditions (with shielding gas) the intensities of NO \bullet and OH \bullet bands were decreasing drastically. Thus, lower concentrations of the gas-phase precursors for the species generated in liquids NO₂⁻ and H₂O₂ may decrease the amount of ONOO⁻ in solution.

Analysis of the data presented in Tables 1–3 shows that the largest amount of hydrogen peroxides is obtained by plasma jet treatment with He while the largest concentration of nitrite ions is produced by plasma jets working with Ar. The difference between the species generated by Ar and He plasmas can be attributed to the reactive species formed in gas phase. As it is known, emission spectra of plasma jets for both gases contain molecular bands assigned to hydroxyl radicals (306–310 nm), neutral nitrogen molecules (315–380 nm and 399–405 nm), nitrogen molecular ion (N₂⁺, 391–470 nm) and atomic oxygen lines at 777 nm and 844 nm. These species are originated from impurities or diffusing surrounding species. In the case of He plasma jet the atomic lines of helium are registered between 501 and 728 nm. In the case of Ar plasma jet the visible region from 400 to around 700 nm is mostly free of emission features and atomic lines of argon are detected starting from 697 to 923 nm. In some works, [59,60] the vacuum ultraviolet region (105–300 nm) was also registered for Ar and He plasma jets. It was

established that the atomic oxygen density in this region is higher for Ar plasma jets than for comparable conditions in plasma jets operating with helium. Thus, more nitrous oxides are formed in the gas phase with Ar plasma jet, thereby increasing gaseous NO_x concentrations (Eqs. (10,11)), and also the amount of NO₂⁻ in liquid media.

To summarize the aforementioned findings, Fig. 3 presents the range of concentrations of long-lived (H₂O₂, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻) and short-lived (HO \bullet , HOO \bullet and ONOO \bullet) species described in literature and detailed in the previous tables. It can be highlighted that APPJ, as they include a wide range of device configurations, yield high concentration of RONS either for long-lived or short-lived species.

4 Correlation between RONS and their biological effects

In the last years, different authors have studied the antitumor effects of PAM on cell viability of some cancer cell lines, and excellent reviews have analyzed this subject in detail [3,61–64]. In this section we aim to briefly correlate some of the works which determined the amount of RONS to the cell viability they obtained to highlight the importance of these species in antitumor action.

From the literature cited in the previous sections, the comparison between cell viability data and concentration of RONS achieved by indirect plasma treatment of the media is reported in Table 5, with emphasis on the




Fig. 3 Concentration ranges of RONS in PAM described in literature with emphasis on the nature of the liquid media

maximum amount of long-lived RONS generated and its possible relation with cytotoxicity. With regards to the cytotoxicity effects on cancer cells, this increase of plasma-generated H_2O_2 concentration is presented as predominant with respect to the increase in concentration of nitrite ions. Many different cancer cell lines have been studied but, as an example, in the case of glioblastoma cells U87 [29,34,49], it can be noticed that increasing concentration of hydrogen peroxide results in a decrease cell viability from 50% to 95% depending mainly on the time of incubation with the cell culture medium and the plasma treatment time. However as discussed in other works [24,36], the cytotoxicity is not only related to concentration of H_2O_2 , suggesting that it can be synergistic action of hydrogen peroxide, NO_2^- and other species (i.e., formation of ONOO^- in liquid media). It is also interesting to highlight that the studies presenting the highest NO_2^- concentration generated by atmospheric plasma treatment, from Kurake et al. [40], and the highest H_2O_2 concentration in PBS, from Van Boxem et al. [49], present both very high cytotoxicity on the different cancer cell lines studied, with 95%–98% cell death for 24-hour cell culture experiments. In addition to presenting the most lethal effects on cancer cells, different works [36,40,63] also demonstrated the selectivity of the plasma treatment between cancer cell and healthy cells. For example, Kurake et al. [40] used human mammary epithelial cell line as healthy cells, showing a healthy cell viability around 90% in the same plasma setting conditions used on glioblastoma cancer cells with a cell viability $\leq 10\%$. This is pointing out a key feature of plasma jets in term of their relevance to be used in cancer therapy not only for their efficiency but also for their potential selectivity. A lot of further research is however needed to confirm and understand these breakthroughs but so far relatively scarce

results. For more details on other cancer cell lines studied in contact with APPJ or PAM, please refer to other review works [62,64].

5 Concluding remarks

APPJs have gained relevance in plasma medicine as they effectively produce RONS in different liquid/aqueous media, including biological fluids, and are able to deliver them locally to the treated tissue/organ. Many parameters affect the generation of RONS in solutions, the differences in chemical composition being critical to that aim. The nature of the gas and conditions of the plasma discharge also have a very important effect on the interactions with liquids.

Long-lived species such as H_2O_2 and NO_2^- are by far the species mostly characterized, followed by NO_3^- . The methodology/equipment for detection of short-lived species such as hydroxyl (OH^\bullet), peroxy- (HOO^\bullet), O_2^- , or peroxynitrite (ONOO^\bullet) radicals is more challenging so it is less often measured in literature.

Although H_2O_2 is one of the key species with anticancer action, it has been shown that it is not the sole responsible player and that the interplay of other reactive species is crucial.

The increasing importance of plasma activated liquids in plasma oncology allows to foresee close interactions between the field of liquid diagnostics and biology. Especially, determination of the right “dose” for suitable biological action is in the limelight and not straightforward to determine given the great number of physical and chemical parameters influencing the generation of RONS in liquids and their subsequent biological response.

Table 5 Correlation between RONS concentration generated by atmospheric plasma treatment in cell culture media by indirect treatment and the corresponding cell viability

PAM	$t_{\text{plasma}}/\text{s}$	$[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{max}}/(\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1})$	$[\text{NO}_2^-]_{\text{max}}/(\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1})$	Cell viability (C_v) /%	Cell lines	Ref.
PBS($\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}$) (1 h exposure followed by DMEM addition)	120	1300	1600	$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 4\%$	Normal human skin fibroblasts (NHSF)	[28]
	480			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 1\%$		
	120			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 10\%$	MRC5V _i cell line (derived from normal human lung fibroblasts MRC5)	
	480			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 1\%$		
	120			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 45\%$	Human colon cancer cells (HCT116)	
	480			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 1\%$		
	120			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 30\%$	Melanoma cell line (Lu1205)	
	480			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 1\%$		
DMEM + FBS	120	14	340	$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 60.8\%$ $C_v^{48\text{h}} \approx 45\%{\text{--}}49\%$	Glioblastoma (U87MG)	[29]
D M E M / m o d i f i e d DMEM	240	35–42	–	$C_v^{72\text{h}} \approx 40\%$	Human breast cancer (MDA-MB-231) cells	[34]
	240			$C_v^{72\text{h}} \approx 15\%$	Human glioblastoma (U87MG) cells	
	240			$C_v^{72\text{h}} \approx 20\%$	Pancreatic cancer (PA-TU-8988T) cells	
MEM + 10% FBS	120	30	–	$C_v^{12\text{h}} \approx 50\%$ $C_v^{48\text{h}} \approx 50\%$	ScaBER (from human bladder cancer)	[35]
	240			$C_v^{12\text{h}} \approx 10\%$ $C_v^{48\text{h}} \leq 5\%$		
RPMI 1640	20–300	6	–	$C_v^{24\text{h}} \leq 10\%$	Human hepatocellular carcinoma Bel7402	[38]
	20			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 92\%$	5-FU-resistant Bel7402/5FU cells	
	40			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 80\%$		
	60			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 60\%$		
	120			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 40\%$		
	300			$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 10\%$		
DMEM w/wo 10% FBS	180	110	3350	$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 10\%{\text{--}}12\%$	Human glioblastoma cell line (U251SP)	[40]
	180	64*	1740*	$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 95\%{\text{--}}98\%$	Human mammary epithelial cell Line (MCF10A)	
PBS	300 & 540	1300	500	$C_v^{24\text{h}} \approx 2\%{\text{--}}5\%$	Glioblastoma human GBM cell lines (U87, U251 and LN229)	[49]

* Concentration of RONS generated in cell culture media in the conditions of the cell viability assay presented in the table

Acknowledgements This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 714793). Authors acknowledge the Ramon y Cajal fellowship of Cristina Canal. Support for the research of Maria Pau Ginebra was received through the “ICREA Academia” prize for excellence in research, funded by the Generalitat de Catalunya. Zdenko Machala acknowledges the support from Slovak Research and Development Agency grant APVV-17-0382.

References

- Graves D B. Reactive species from cold atmospheric plasma: Implications for cancer therapy. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 2014, 11(12): 1120–1127
- Graves D B. Oxy-nitroso shielding burst model of cold atmospheric plasma therapeutics. *Clinical Plasma Medicine*, 2014, 2(2): 38–49
- Yan D, Sherman J H, Keidar M. Cold atmospheric plasma, a novel promising anti-cancer treatment modality. *Oncotarget*, 2017, 8(9): 15977–15995
- Weltmann K D, Von Woedtke T. Plasma medicine—current state of research and medical application. *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, 2017, 59(1): 014031
- Lu X, Laroussi M, Puech V. On atmospheric-pressure non-equilibrium plasma jets and plasma bullets. *Plasma Sources Science & Technology*, 2012, 21(3): 34005
- Iza F, Kim G J, Lee S M, Lee J K, Walsh J L, Zhang Y T, Kong M G. Microplasmas: Sources, particle kinetics, and biomedical applications. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 2008, 5(4): 322–344
- Reuter S, Von Woedtke T, Weltmann K D. The kINPen—a review on physics and chemistry of the atmospheric pressure plasma jet and

- its applications. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2018, 51 (23): 233001
8. Golda J, Held J, Redeker B, Konkowski M, Beijer P, Sobota A, Kroesen G, Braithwaite N St J, Reuter S, Turner M M, Gans T, O'Connell D, Schulz-von der Gathen V. Concepts and characteristics of the "COST Reference Microplasma Jet.". *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2016, 49(8): 084003
9. Kelly S, Golda J, Turner M M, Schulz-von der Gathen V. Gas and heat dynamics of a micro-scaled atmospheric pressure plasma reference jet. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2015, 48(44): 444002
10. Adamovich I, Baalrud S D, Bogaerts A, Bruggeman P J, Capelli M, Colombo V, Czarnetzki U, Ebert U, Eden J G, Favia P, et al.. The 2017 plasma roadmap: Low temperature plasma science and technology. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2017, 50(32): 323001
11. Kajiyama H, Utsumi F, Nakamura K, Tanaka H, Toyokuni S, Hori M, Kikkawa F. Future perspective of strategic non-thermal plasma therapy for cancer treatment. *Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition*, 2016, 60(1): 33–38
12. Keidar M, Shashurin A, Volotskova O, Ann Step M, Srinivasan P, Sandler A, Trink B. Cold atmospheric plasma in cancer therapy. *Physics of Plasmas*, 2013, 20(5): 057101
13. Partecke L I, Evert K, Haugk J, Doering F, Normann L, Diedrich S, Weiss F U, Evert M, Huebner N O, Guenther C, et al. Tissue tolerable plasma (TTP) induces apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *BMC Cancer*, 2012, 12(1): 473–482
14. Graves D B. The emerging role of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in redox biology and some implications for plasma applications to medicine and biology. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2012, 45(26): 263001
15. Buxton G V, Greenstock C L, Helman W P, Ross A B. Critical review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}/\cdot\text{O}-$) in aqueous solution. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, 1988, 17(2): 513–886
16. Lukes P, Dolezalova E, Sisrova I, Clupek M. Aqueous-phase chemistry and bactericidal effects from an air discharge plasma in contact with water: Evidence for the formation of peroxyntirite through a pseudo-second-order post-discharge reaction of H_2O_2 and HNO_2 . *Plasma Sources Science & Technology*, 2014, 23(1): 015019
17. Bruggeman P J, Kushner M J, Locke B R, Gardeniers J G E, Graham W G, Graves D B, Hofman-Caris R C, Maric D, Reid J P, Ceriani E, et al. Plasma-liquid interactions: A review and roadmap. *Plasma Sources Science & Technology*, 2016, 25(5): 253002
18. Kim Y H, Hong Y J, Baik K Y, Kwon G C, Choi J J, Cho G S, Uhm H S, Kim D Y, Choi E H. Measurement of reactive hydroxyl radical species inside the biosolutions during non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma jet bombardment onto the solution. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 2014, 34(3): 457–472
19. Rumbach P, Bartels D M, Sankaran R M, Go D B. The solvation of electrons by an atmospheric-pressure plasma. *Nature Communications*, 2015, 6(1): 7248
20. Bullock A T, Gavin D L, Ingram M D. Electron spin resonance detection of spin-trapped radicals formed during the glow-discharge electrolysis of aqueous solutions. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions I*, 1980, 76(0): 648–653
21. Tresp H, Hammer M U, Winter J, Weltmann K D, Reuter S. Quantitative detection of plasma-generated radicals in liquids by electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2013, 46(43): 435401
22. Eisenberg G. Colorimetric determination of hydrogen peroxide. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry. Analytical Edition*, 1943, 15 (5): 327–328
23. Oliveira M C, Pupo Nogueira R F, Gomes Neto J, Jardim W F, Rohwedder J J R. Flow injection spectrophotometric system for hydrogen peroxide monitoring in photo-Fenton degradation processes. *Quimica Nova*, 2001, 24(2): 188–190
24. Griess P. Griess reagent: A solution of sulphanilic acid and α -naphthylamine in acetic acid which gives a pink colour on reaction with the solution obtained after decomposition of nitrosyl complexes. *Chemische Berichte*, 1897, 12: 427
25. Ikeda J I, Tanaka H, Ishikawa K, Sakakita H, Ikehara Y, Hori M. Plasma-activated medium (PAM) kills human cancer-initiating cells. *Pathology International*, 2018, 68(1): 23–30
26. Turrini E, Laurita R, Stancampiano A, Catanzaro E, Calcanbrini C, Maffei F, Gherardi M, Colombo V, Fimognari C. Cold atmospheric plasma induces apoptosis and oxidative stress pathway regulation in T-Lymphoblastoid leukemia cells. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 2017, 2017: 4271065
27. Machala Z, Tarabova B, Sersenová D, Janda M, Hensel K. Plasma activated water chemical and antibacterial effects: Correlation with gaseous and aqueous reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, plasma sources and air flow conditions. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2018, 52(3): 034002
28. Girard P M, Arbabian A, Fleury M, Bauville G, Puech V, Dutreix M, Sousa J S. Synergistic effect of H_2O_2 and NO_2 in cell death induced by cold atmospheric He plasma. *Scientific Reports*, 2016, 6 (1): 29098
29. Chen Z, Simonyan H, Cheng X, Gjika E, Lin L, Canady J, Sherman J H, Young C, Keidar M. A novel micro cold atmospheric plasma device for glioblastoma both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cancers (Basel)*, 2017, 9(6): 61
30. Oh J S, Szili E J, Ito S, Hong S H, Gaur N, Furuta H, Short R D, Hatta A. Slow molecular transport of plasma-generated reactive oxygen and nitrogen species and O_2 through agarose as a surrogate for tissue. *Plasma Medicine*, 2015, 5(2-4): 125–143
31. Girard F, Peret M, Dumont N, Badets V, Blanc S, Gazeli K, Noel C, Belmonte T, Marlin L, Cambus J P, Simon G, Sojic N, Held B, Arbault S, Clément F. Correlations between gaseous and liquid phase chemistries induced by cold atmospheric plasmas in a physiological buffer. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2018, 20(14): 9198–9210
32. Gorbanev Y, O'Connell D, Chechik V. Non-thermal plasma in contact with water: The origin of species. *Chemistry (Weinheim an der Bergstrasse, Germany)*, 2016, 22(10): 3496–3505
33. Chauvin J, Judée F, Yousfi M, Vicendo P, Merbahi N. Analysis of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species generated in three liquid media by low temperature helium plasma jet. *Scientific Reports*, 2017, 7 (1): 4562

- 1 34. Yan D, Nourmohammadi N, Bian K, Murad F, Sherman J H, Keidar M. Stabilizing the cold plasma-stimulated medium by regulating medium's composition. *Scientific Reports*, 2016, 6(1): 26016
- 5 35. Mohades S, Laroussi M, Sears J, Barekzi N, Razavi H. Evaluation of the effects of a plasma activated medium on cancer cells. *Physics of Plasmas*, 2015, 22(12): 122001
36. Canal C, Fontelo R, Hamouda I, Guillem-Marti J, Cvelbar U, Ginebra M P. Plasma-induced selectivity in bone cancer cells death. *Free Radical Biology & Medicine*, 2017, 110: 72–80
- 10 37. Duan J, Lu X, He G. On the penetration depth of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species generated by a plasma jet through real biological tissue. *Physics of Plasmas*, 2017, 24(7): 073506
38. Yang H, Lu R, Xian Y, Gan L, Lu X, Yang X. Effects of atmospheric pressure cold plasma on human hepatocarcinoma cell and its 5-fluorouracil resistant cell line. *Physics of Plasmas*, 2015, 22(12): 122006
- 15 39. Takamatsu T, Kawate A, Uehara K, Oshita T, Miyahara H, Dobrynin D, Fridman G, Fridman A, Okino A. Bacterial inactivation in liquids using multi-gas plasmas. *Plasma Medicine*, 2012, 2(4): 237–247
- 20 40. Kurake N, Tanaka H, Ishikawa K, Kondo T, Sekine M, Nakamura K, Kajiyama H, Kikkawa F, Mizuno M, Hori M. Cell survival of glioblastoma grown in medium containing hydrogen peroxide and/or nitrite, or in plasma-activated medium. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 2016, 605: 102–108
- 25 41. Tanaka H, Nakamura K, Mizuno M, Ishikawa K, Takeda K, Kajiyama H, Utsumi F, Kikkawa F, Hori M. Non-thermal atmospheric pressure plasma activates lactate in Ringer's solution for anti-tumor effects. *Scientific Reports*, 2016, 6(1): 36282
- 30 42. Attri P, Yusupov M, Park J H, Lingamdinne L P, Koduru J R, Shiratani M, Choi E H, Bogaerts A. Mechanism and comparison of needle-type non-thermal direct and indirect atmospheric pressure plasma jets on the degradation of dyes. *Scientific Reports*, 2016, 6(1): 34419
- 35 43. Oh J, Szili E J, Ogawa K, Short R D, Ito M, Furuta H, Hatta A. UV-vis spectroscopy study of plasma-activated water: Dependence of the chemical composition on plasma exposure time and treatment distance. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 2017, 57(1): 0102B9
- 40 44. Wende K, Williams P, Dalluge J, Van Gaens W, Aboubakr H, Bischof J, Voedtke T, Goyal S M, Weltmann K D, Bogaerts A, et al.. Identification of the biologically active liquid chemistry induced by a nonthermal atmospheric pressure plasma jet. *Biointerphases*, 2015, 10(2): 29518
- 45 45. Bekeschus S, Kolata J, Winterbourn C, Kramer A, Turner R, Weltmann K D, Broker B, Masur K. Hydrogen peroxide: A central player in physical plasma-induced oxidative stress in human blood cells. *Free Radical Research*, 2014, 48(5): 542–549
46. Winter J, Tresp H, Hammer M U, Iseni S, Kupsch S, Schmidt-Bleker A, Dunnbier M, Masur K, Weltmann K D, Reuter S. Tracking plasma generated H₂O₂ from gas into liquid phase and revealing its dominant impact on human skin cells. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2014, 47(28): 285401
- 50 47. Schmidt A, Dietrich S, Steuer A, Weltmann K D, Von Woedtke T, Masur K, Wende K. Non-thermal plasma activates human keratinocytes by stimulation of antioxidant and phase II pathways. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 2015, 290(11): 6731–6750
48. Tresp H, Hammer M U, Weltmann K D, Reuter S. Effects of atmosphere composition and liquid type on plasma-generated reactive species in biologically relevant solutions. *Plasma Medicine*, 2013, 3(12): 45–55
- 5 49. Van Boxem W, Van Der Paal J, Gorbanev Y, Vanuytsel S, Smits E, Dewilde S, Bogaerts A. Anti-cancer capacity of plasma-treated PBS: Effect of chemical composition on cancer cell cytotoxicity. *Scientific Reports*, 2017, 7(1): 16478
- 50 50. Bekeschus S, Wende K, Hefny M M, Rödder K, Jablonowski H, Schmidt A, Von Woedtke T, Weltmann K D, Benedikt J. Oxygen atoms are critical in rendering THP-1 leukaemia cells susceptible to cold physical plasma-induced apoptosis. *Scientific Reports*, 2017, 7(1): 2791
- 15 51. Anderson C E, Cha N R, Lindsay A D, Clark D S, Graves D B. The role of interfacial reactions in determining plasma-liquid chemistry. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 2016, 36(6): 1393–1415
52. Ito T, Uchida G, Nakajama A, Takenaka K, Setsuhara Y. Control of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species production in liquid by nonthermal plasma jet with controlled surrounding gas. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 2017, 56(1S): 01AC06
53. Kim S J, Chung T H. Cold atmospheric plasma jet-generated RONS and their selective effects on normal and carcinoma cells. *Scientific Reports*, 2016, 6(1): 20332
- 25 54. Girard F, Badets V, Blanc S, Gazeli K, Marlin L, Authier L, Svarnas P, Sojic N, Clement F, Arbault S. Formation of reactive nitrogen species including peroxynitrite in physiological buffer exposed to cold atmospheric plasma. *Royal Society of Chemistry Advances*, 2016, 6(82): 78457–78467
- 55 55. Szili E J, Oh J S, Fukuhara H, Bhatia R, Gaur N, Nguyen C K, Hong S H, Ito S, Ogawa K, Kawada C, et al. Modelling the helium plasma jet delivery of reactive species into a 3D cancer tumour. *Plasma Sources Science & Technology*, 2018, 27(1): 14001
56. Suzen S, Gurer-Orhan H, Saso L. Detection of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) technique. *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*, 2017, 22(1): 181
57. Takamatsu T, Uehara K, Sasaki Y, Miyahara H, Matsumura Y, Iwasawa A, Ito N, Azuma T, Kohno M, Okino A. Investigation of reactive species using various gas plasmas. *Royal Society of Chemistry Advances*, 2014, 4(75): 39901–39905
- 40 58. Machala Z, Tarabova B, Hensel K, Spetlikova E, Sikurova L, Lukes P. Formation of ROS and RNS in water electro-sprayed through transient spark discharge in air and their bactericidal effects. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 2013, 10(7): 649–659
- 45 59. Jablonowski H, Bussiahn R, Hammer M U, Weltmann K D, Von Woedtke T, Reuter S. Impact of plasma jet vacuum ultraviolet radiation on reactive oxygen species generation in bio-relevant liquids. *Physics of Plasmas*, 2015, 22(12): 122008
60. Knake N, Reuter S, Niemi K, Schulz-Von Der Gathen V, Winter J. Absolute atomic oxygen density distributions in the effluent of a microscale atmospheric pressure plasma jet. *Journal of Physics. D, Applied Physics*, 2008, 41(19): 194006
- 55 61. Gay-Mimbrera J, Garcia M C, Isla-Tejera B, Rodero-Serrano A, Garcia-Nieto A V, Ruano J. Clinical and biological principles of cold atmospheric plasma application in skin cancer. *Advances in*

- 1 Therapy, 2016, 33(6): 894–909
62. Ratovitski E A, Cheng X, Yan D, Sherman J H, Canady J, Trink B, Keidar M. Anti-cancer therapies of 21st century : Novel approach to
5 treat human cancers using cold atmospheric plasma. Plasma
Processes and Polymers, 2014, 11(12): 1128–1137
63. Hensel K, Kučerová K, Tarabová B, Janda M, Machala Z, Sano K,
Mihai C T, Ciorgiac M, Gorgan L D, Jijie R, Pohoata V, Topala I. 1
Effects of air transient spark discharge and helium plasma jet on
water, bacteria, cells, and biomolecules. Biointerphases, 2015, 10
(2): 029515
64. Keidar M. A prospectus on innovations in the plasma treatment of 5
cancer. Physics of Plasmas, 2018, 25(8): 083504

10 10

15 15

20 20

25 25

30 30

35 35

40 40

45 45

50 50

55 55